IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

JUDGES SUMMONS NO.99 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

WITH
JUDGES SUMMONS NO.100 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

WITH
JUDGES SUMMONS NO.101 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

WITH
JUDGES SUMMONS NO.102 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

WITH
JUDGES SUMMONS NO.103 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

WITH
JUDGES SUMMONS NO.104 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

WITH
JUDGES SUMMONS NO.105 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

WITH
JUDGES SUMMONS NO.106 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

WITH

JUDGES SUMMONS NO.107 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.942 OF 1998
(B.I.F.R. CASE NO.100 OF 1995)

M/s.Raigadh Papers Ltd. ....Company
And
The Board of Trustees of
the Port of Bombay ....Applicants

Ms.Priti Shah i/b Motiwalla & Co. for the Applicants.

Mr.S.C. Gupta, Official Liquidator present.

CORAM : S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.
DATED : 24TH FEBRUARY, 2006.

P.C. :

1. The scheme has been sanctioned. The Official Liquidator is no longer there, except for the limited purpose as stated in the order dated 12.1.2006. The Official Liquidator also states that the leave under Section 446 of the Companies Act is not necessary for prosecuting and continuing the proceedings referred to in the Company Petition. The Applicants are at liberty to prosecute the proceedings without the leave under Section 446 of the Company Act.